Transfer Pricing Overview

- **Definition:**
  A **transfer price** is the price one **sub-unit (division)** of a company charges another for a product or service supplied internally.
  The internally sold product/service is called an **intermediate product**.
- **Purpose:**
  Transfer pricing is used to **set prices for internal transactions** within a company — especially in **vertically integrated** organizations (those handling multiple stages of production).

Example of Vertical Integration

- **Division A:** Produces components.
- **Division B:** Uses those components to manufacture final goods for customers.
  Both are treated as **profit centers** or **investment centers**.

Effect on Company Financials

- **At the divisional level:**
  - The selling division records **revenue** from the transfer.
  - The buying division records **cost** for the same transfer.
- **At the consolidated company level:**
  Internal transactions are **eliminated** in consolidation.
  → The company's **net income** is **not affected** by transfer prices.
  → Only external sales and total production cost appear in consolidated financials.

Impact on Divisional Performance

- The **transfer price** affects **divisional profits**.
  - If not set at **market price**, divisional results can be **distorted**.

If the **internal transfer price** is **not set at market price**, it can **distort divisional performance**:

- The **selling division** might appear more or less profitable than it truly is.
- The **buying division** might seem inefficient or overly profitable.

Example:

- Market price of a component = ₹100
- Transfer price set at ₹130 → Selling division looks highly profitable, but buying division suffers.
- Transfer price set at ₹70 → Buying division looks efficient, but selling division appears weak
  - This can lead to **poor performance evaluation** for managers.

Benefits of Internal Transfers

- If the selling division has **unused capacity**, internal transfers often **benefit the company**:

- Lower production cost than buying externally.
- Both divisions can profit:
  - The selling division earns extra revenue.
  - The buying division obtains goods at a lower cost.

Goal Congruence

- The **transfer price** should:
  - Motivate both divisions to make decisions that **maximize total company profit**.
  - Ensure **fair performance evaluation** for managers.
  - Promote **goal congruence** — alignment between divisional and company-wide objectives.

Note on Cost Centers

- Cost centers **only incur costs** (no revenues).
- Their cost allocations to other departments are **not** considered "transfer pricing."
  (They merely pass along costs.)

Multinational Transfer Pricing and Taxes

1. Concept

- When a **multinational company** operates in multiple countries, it often transfers goods or services between its **subsidiaries** located in different countries.
- The **transfer price** set for these cross-border transactions directly affects how **profits and taxes** are distributed among the countries involved.

---

2. Tax Implications

- Since **tax rates differ** across countries, the chosen transfer price can **shift taxable income** from a **high-tax country** to a **low-tax country**.
- In the past, companies used this method to **reduce total global tax liability** — a practice called **income shifting** or **profit shifting**.

---

3. Legal Restrictions

- **Tax authorities** in most countries now strictly **regulate transfer pricing** to prevent tax avoidance.
- These regulations require that transfer prices between related entities be set according to the **arm's-length principle**.

---

4. Arm's-Length Principle

- **Definition:**
  The transfer price must be the **same as it would be between two unrelated companies** dealing under similar conditions.

- The idea is to ensure that **intragroup transactions** are priced **fairly and realistically**, not manipulated to reduce taxes.

---

5. U.S. Regulation Example

- In the **United States**, this rule is enforced under **Section 482 of the Internal Revenue Code**.
- It requires that prices between a U.S. company and its **foreign subsidiary** be **arm's-length**.
- Other countries have similar laws aligned with **OECD (Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development)** transfer pricing guidelines.

In Summary

✅ Multinational transfer pricing affects global tax liability.
✅ Companies once used it to shift profits to low-tax countries.
✅ Now, **strict laws** enforce **arm's-length pricing** to prevent tax evasion.
✅ The goal is **fair taxation** and **transparent income reporting** across countries.

1. Tariffs and Customs Duties

- **Issue:**
  When products are transferred between subsidiaries in different countries, **tariffs and customs duties** apply on imports.
- **Risk:**
  Companies might **understate transfer prices** to reduce customs duties.
- **Compliance:**
  Customs authorities check that transfer prices are **not lower than fair market (arm's-length) prices**, just as tax authorities do for income tax.
- **Key Point:**
  Manipulating transfer prices for customs or tax benefits creates **compliance risk**.

💡 **Exam Tip**

Transfer pricing is **frequently tested** in exams for:

- Evaluation of **responsibility centers**, and
- **Performance appraisal** of their managers.

---

2. Objectives of Transfer Pricing

A good transfer pricing system should achieve **multiple management goals**:

Objective	Explanation
Goal Congruence	Align divisional decisions with overall company objectives.
Company Goals Fit	Support the company's structure and strategic goals.

Objective	Explanation
Motivation	Motivate managers to minimize costs and use resources efficiently.
Performance Evaluation	Enable fair assessment of divisional managers.
Autonomy	Maintain decision-making freedom in decentralized structures.
Equity	Allow each division to earn a fair return for its functions.
Legal Compliance	Meet tax and external reporting standards.
Simplicity	Be easy to apply and understand.

---

3. Methods of Setting Transfer Prices

Transfer pricing methods fall into **four main categories**:

A. Market-Based Methods

1) Market Price

- Based on the **current market price** for the product.
- **Best method** when an external market exists.
- **Advantages:**
    - Objective and fair ("arm's-length").
    - Satisfies tax authorities.
    - Fair to both divisions.
- **Disadvantage:**
    - Buying division has no cost advantage — may prefer to buy externally.

B. Cost-Based Methods

(Methods 2–5 below are based on production cost and have one major weakness:
👉 They reduce the selling division's motivation to control costs.)

2) Cost of Production + Opportunity Cost

Transfer Price = Outlay Cost + Opportunity Cost

- Includes:

1️⃣ Outlay (Production) Cost

- This is the **actual cost incurred** by the selling division to produce the intermediate product.
- It includes **variable production costs** and may also include **traceable fixed costs**.
- Represents the **minimum amount** the selling division must recover to avoid a loss.

2️⃣ Opportunity Cost

Opportunity cost is the **value of the next best alternative that is foregone** when a decision is made. It represents what you give up in order to pursue a particular option.

🔍 **In Simple Terms:**

If you choose **Option A**, the **opportunity cost** is the benefit you would have received from **Option B**, which you didn't choose.

📄 **Examples:**

1️⃣ **Personal Life:**

- If you spend ₹500 on a concert ticket, the opportunity cost might be the dinner you could have enjoyed with that money.

2️⃣ **Business:**

- If a company uses factory space to produce Product X, the opportunity cost is the profit it could have earned by producing Product Y instead.

3️⃣ **Transfer Pricing Context:**

- If Division A sells a product internally to Division B, the opportunity cost is the **profit Division A could have earned by selling it externally**.
- **Advantage:** Compensates selling division for lost profit.
- **Disadvantage:** Not a true "market price," since it's not an arm's-length transaction.

3) Variable Cost

- Uses only **variable costs** of the selling division.
- **Suitable:** When selling division has **excess capacity**.
- **Advantage:** Encourages internal transfers.
- **Disadvantage:** If no excess capacity, it reduces seller's profit → division may prefer external sales.

4) Full Cost

- Includes **all production costs** (materials, labor, overhead).
- Based on **absorption costing**; no markup added.
- **Advantages:** Simple, easy to calculate and compare with budgets.
- **Disadvantages:** Includes fixed costs → may distort decision-making.

5) Cost Plus

- Adds a **markup** (fixed amount or percentage) to total cost (variable + fixed).
- Can use **standard** or **actual** costs.
- **Advantages:** Provides profit to selling division, works when no market price exists.
- **Disadvantages:** Still cost-based → may not promote cost efficiency.

C. Negotiated Methods

6) Negotiated Price

- Determined by **mutual agreement** between selling and buying divisions.
- **Advantages:**

- Fair and acceptable to both sides.
- Flexible — useful when markets or products change quickly.
- Can help resolve conflicts.

- **Disadvantages:**
  - Time-consuming.
  - May reflect **managers' bargaining skills** more than operational performance.
  - Works only if divisions control their own buying/selling.

D. Other Methods

7) Arbitrary Pricing

- Set directly by **top management** to achieve company goals.
- **Advantage:** Central control.
- **Disadvantages:**
  - Reduces divisional autonomy.
  - Weakens profit motivation.
  - Distorts performance evaluation.

8) Dual-Rate Pricing

- **Two prices** are used:
  - Selling division records **market price**.
  - Buying division records **variable cost**.
- **Result:** Both divisions show higher profits.
- **Drawbacks:**
  - Complicates accounting and performance comparison.
  - The "extra" profit must be eliminated during **consolidation**.

Summary Table: Transfer Pricing Methods

Method	Basis	Advantage	Disadvantage
Market Price	External market rate	Objective & fair	May reduce internal trade
Cost + Opportunity	Cost + forgone profit	Compensates seller	Not arm's-length
Variable Cost	Variable cost only	Encourages internal use	Not fair if no extra capacity
Full Cost	Absorption cost	Simple to apply	Can distort decisions
Cost Plus	Cost + markup	Gives fair profit	May not control costs
Negotiated	Agreed by managers	Fair & flexible	Time-consuming

Method	Basis	Advantage	Disadvantage
Arbitrary	Set by HQ	Control retained	No motivation/autonomy
Dual-Rate	Two different prices	Both divisions profit	Complex & inconsistent

📘 **"Deciding Which Transfer Pricing Method to Use"**

---

1. Best Theoretical and Legal Method

- **Market Price** is the **best** method both **theoretically** and **legally**.
  ✅ It treats divisions as if they were **independent companies**, ensuring fairness and objectivity.

---

2. Key Factors in Choosing a Method

When management decides which transfer pricing method to apply, they consider:

Factor	Explanation
Goal Congruence	The method should align divisional objectives with overall company goals.
Capacity of Selling Division	Whether the selling division has *excess* or *full* capacity.
External Market Availability	Can the selling division sell externally? Can the buying division buy externally?
Legal & Regulatory Rules	Compliance with tax and customs laws (e.g., arm's-length principle).

---

3. Recovery and Fairness

- The **selling division** should at least recover:
  - Its **costs**, and
  - Its **opportunity cost** (if it sacrifices external sales).
- The **purchasing division** should not pay **more than the market price**.

---

4. Understanding Opportunity Cost

- **Applies when the selling division is at full capacity.**
- If the selling division must reject an **outside order** to serve the internal one,
  its **opportunity cost** = **lost contribution margin** from that external sale.
  → The transfer price should therefore = **Variable cost + Opportunity cost**.

---

5. Market Price as the Preferred Option

- Normally, **market price** satisfies:
  - Goal congruence ✅
  - Fairness ✅

- Legal requirements ✅
- Performance evaluation ✅

---

6. Exception — Below-Market Pricing

A **below-market transfer price** can still be beneficial if:

- The selling division has **excess capacity**.
- Selling internally adds **some contribution margin** (e.g., transfer price just above variable cost).
- The purchasing division gains by paying **less than market price**.
  ➡️ Result: **Both divisions and the company overall benefit.**

---

7. When the Selling Division Has No Excess Capacity

- The selling division is already selling all output externally.
- Any internal sale means **giving up an external sale** → causes **opportunity cost**.
- Transfer price should be:

$$\text{Transfer Price} = \text{Variable Cost} + \text{Opportunity Cost (lost contribution margin)}$$

---

8. Senior Management Perspective

- The **purchasing division** will always prefer the **cheapest source** (internal or external).
- **Top management**, however, focuses on **company-wide profit**:
  - Fixed costs are **sunk costs** (don't change with the decision).
  - As long as **internal variable cost < external purchase price**, the **company as a whole** gains more by buying internally — even if the **buying division's own profit** appears lower.

✅ **Summary Table**

Situation	Recommended Transfer Price	Reasoning
Selling division has **excess capacity**	**Just above variable cost**	Improves company profit; motivates internal sale
Selling division at **full capacity**	**Variable cost + opportunity cost**	Compensates for lost external sales
Arm's-length market exists	**Market price**	Ensures fairness, legality, and performance comparability
No market price available	**Cost-based or negotiated**	Practical when market data is unavailable